# **APPLICATION**

for a
PUBLIC FACILITY
Idaho Community Development
Block Grant
by

# **DEARY, IDAHO**



# on behalf of DEARY RURAL FIRE DISTRICT

## FIRE STATION COMPLETION

John Henderson, Mayor

walter m. steed & associates PROJECT ADMINISTRATION

1345 Ridgeview Drive Moscow, Idaho 83843 (208) 883-0123

**November 21, 2008** 

November 21, 2008

Donald A. Dietrich, Director Idaho Department of Commerce 700 West State Street Boise, ID 83720

Dear Mr. Dietrich:

The City of Deary respectfully submits this application for an Idaho Community Development Block Grant on behalf of the Deary Rural Fire District. The District encompasses not only the City of Deary but also an additional 139 square miles of mostly rural, wild land interface.

Growth in the fire District, especially over the past few years, has made it necessary to acquire additional apparatus to maintain quality fire protection that district patrons deserve. This requires that the District not only have structure protection apparatus but wild land protection apparatus as well. This acquisition of additional equipment has caused them to outgrow their present building. Apparatus is not only "double-parked" inside the existing building but "nose to tail" with only a foot or even less between bumpers. This arrangement makes it difficult to walk from one end of the building to the other and impossible to move between some of the trucks. Basic maintenance on apparatus is virtually impossible without taking it outside the building. This means other trucks must be moved out of the way so the one to be maintained or used in an emergency can be reached. When responding to an emergency, this can greatly increase response times.

The District has been working on this problem for two years and has passed a \$250,000 bond issue, which, with in-kind labor and saved funds, will construct a new "shell" building on property adjacent to the present downtown Deary facility. Building costs, however, are extremely high and without a grant the district cannot afford to complete the structure, which is necessary to make their operations practical.

Specifically, project work will consist of the completion of a 3,000 sq, ft., "shell" fire apparatus building. Without being able to complete this new building, Deary Rural Fire District will remain constrained in its ability to serve the needs of persons in this area of Latah County. Thank you for your consideration.

Yours truly,

John Henderson, Mayor

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

ICDBG APPLICATION FORM	1
ECONOMIC ADVISORY COUNCIL PAGE	2
THRESHOLD CRITERIA.	3
GENERAL PROJECT DESCRIPTION	5
BUDGET NARRATIVE	8
BUDGET FORM	9
DETAILED COST ANALYSIS	10
PROJECT SCHEDULE.	11
FINANCIAL PROFILES.	12
COMMUNITY DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE.	16
REVIEW AND RANKING NARRATIVE.	17
CERTIFICATIONS.	21
ENVIRONMENTAL SCOPING	22
APPENDIX	26

### IV. ICDBG Application Information Form

Applicant: City of	<u>Deary</u>	Chie	ef Elected Official:	John Henderson	, Mayor	
Address: PO B	•				208-877-1582	
Sub recipient (if app Chief Elected Offic Address: PO B	ial: <u>Brian Ro</u>	bertson, Chairman		Phone:	<u>208-877-1692</u>	
Application Prepare	ed By: Walte	er M. Steed & Ass	ociates	Phone:	(208) 883-0123	
Address:	1345 Ridgevi	iew Drive, Moscov	w, Idaho 83843			
Architect/Engineer/	Planner: Gr	eg McCracken, A	IA, USKH Engin	eers Phone:	(208) 746-2661	ĺ
Address: (	313 D Street,	Suite 200, Lewist	ton, ID 83501			
NATIONAL OBJI	ECTIVE (MA	ARK ONE)	PROJECT T	Γ <b>ΥΡΕ</b> (MARK ON	NE)	
	LMI Clientele		X_ Public Fac Housing	cility/ C	Community Center	
LMI Jobs	X Slum & Blig	ht	Economic I	Development S	enior Center	
	Imminent Thro	eat	Imminent T	hreat C	Other	
PROJECT POPUL	LATION TO	BENEFIT (PERSO	ONS): (Census/Sur	rvey/Clients/Jobs)		
TOTAL # TO BENE	EFIT:	ТОТ	TAL # LMI TO BE	NEFIT:		
% LMI TO BENEF	IT:	% M	IINORITY POPUL	ATION:%		
PROJECT DESCI	RIPTION: <u>Co</u>	ompletion of a 3,00	00 sq. ft. fire stati	on shell building	to house fire and	d EMS
SOURCE	AMOUNT	DATE APPLICATION SUBMITTED	RESERVED/ CONDITIONAL AWARD	FUNDS COMMITTED/ CONTRACT AWARD DATE	DOCUMEN- TATION IN APPENDIX ***	
ICDBG	\$500,000					
Local Cash	83,450			11-07	4	
Local Loan*	250,000			8-06	4	
Local In-Kind**	51,154			11-08	4	
USDA-RD Grant						
EDA Grant						
State Grant						
Foundation Grant						
Private Investment						
Other (identify)						
TOTAL PROJECT FINANCING	\$884,604					

\* Identify Loan Source(s) \_\_\_\_\_USDA-RD\_\_\_\_\_ Date Bond or Necessary and Ordinary Passed \_\_\_08/06\_\_

<sup>\*\*</sup>Describe In-Kind match by type (i.e. materials, labor, waived fees, land value) and amount.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>Identify which appendix corresponding documentation is in. Documentation should be a letter from the appropriate source.

# V. Economic Advisory Council Page: Summarize the project need, how the need will be addressed, total project cost, the local ability to finance the project, the local effort and commitment, and the local and regional economic impact.

The Block Grant program is the final funding option to make this much needed fire station project a reality. In an area with a mixture of city structures and wild land exposure fire district patrons are paying increased taxes to pay back a \$250,000 bond issue to build the shell of a new fire station. Additional funds are needed to complete the structure, which will house quick response and first response, fire and medical apparatus.

This project is a major undertaking as another part of the long term planning of the Deary Rural Fire District. They are a victim of their own accomplishments having acquired multiple pieces of fire apparatus but not having adequate storage space to park it all. They very much need to upgrade their fire station space to operate efficiently. The project will provide a long-term solution to the need of the district to have a viable, space efficient plant by completing a 3,000 sq. foot shell building which they have raised funds to construct.

Growth in the area, especially over the past few years, has made it necessary to acquire additional apparatus to maintain quality fire protection that district patrons deserve. This requires that the District not only have structure protection apparatus but wild land protection apparatus as well. This acquisition of additional equipment has caused them to outgrow their present building. Apparatus is not only "double-parked" inside the existing building but "nose to tail" with only a foot or even less between bumpers. This arrangement makes it difficult to walk from one end of the building to the other and impossible to move between some of the trucks. Basic maintenance on apparatus is virtually impossible without taking it outside the building. This means other trucks must be moved out of the way so the one to be maintained or used in an emergency can be reached. When responding to an emergency, this can greatly increase response times.

The District has been working on this problem for two years and has passed a \$250,000 bond issue, which, with in-kind labor and savings, will build a new "shell" building on property adjacent to the present facility. Building costs, however, are extremely high and without a grant the District cannot afford to complete the structure, which is necessary to make operations practical.

Deputy State Fire Marshall Don Strong, in his letter of November 4, 2008, stated, "This building will help relieve the extremely crowded conditions that exist in your present facility." He goes on to say, "Your two year attempt to accomplish this is only prolonging and adding to the cost and the sooner you can complete this project the better value for the community." "Your willingness to provide in kind labor to help reduce the overall costs shows the additional commitments of your people to provide quality fire and ambulance response to the community you serve."

This project will improve the health and safety of residents by providing realistic storage of fire fighting emergency equipment so that it can be maintained and accessed in a reasonable fashion. Local economic impact will be evident by providing protection of life and property.

### VI. Threshold Criteria

#### A. ELIGIBILE APPLICANT:

The applicant is a City  $X \square$  The applicant is a County  $\square$ 

If the applicant is sponsoring a subrecipient or this is a joint application, describe the relationship and attach a draft agreement between the parties. Indicate if the subrecipient is a faith-based organization.

Deary, Idaho is making this application on behalf of the Deary Rural Fire District with whom they have executed a Joint Powers Agreement. The sub-recipient is not a faith-based organization. A copy of the Joint Powers Agreement can be found in Appendix 9.

### **B. ELIGIBLE ACTIVITIES:**

List the eligible activities identified from Chapter 2 that are part of the project.

Public Facilities and Improvements

Administrative Activities

# C. NATIONAL OBJECTIVE: There are six National Objectives listed below. Complete only the National Objective that will be met with the project.

### C.5. Slum and Blight:

The City of Deary in 2005 designated an area of their city as a redevelopment area, due to slum and blight conditions, which included deteriorated buildings and hazardous properties. This designation allowed the city to meet the CDBG national objective (Prevention/Elimination of Slums and Blight) subsequently qualifying for CDBG funding to re-construct their community center, as the existing facility was located within the redevelopment boundary area and contributed to the defined blight. The community center condition is supported in the city's slum and blight analysis report.

The city is now pursuing the construction of a fire station and would like to utilize a CDBG to help fund the construction. The question is... will the construction of the fire station meet a CDBG national objective? Based on the Dept. of Commerce's assessment of this project, the construction of the fire station would fall within the slum and blight national objective, as the project would meet the following:

The area, including the specific buildings, where the existing fire station is located and where the new fire station will be constructed is within an officially designated redevelopment area;

Per the building survey of the area, a minimum of 25% of the properties are deteriorated or deteriorating (even after reconstruction of the community center and new city hall);

The city has established the redevelopment boundary. City Resolution #188, which identified commercial and residential building conditions; and

The proposed activity, construction of the fire station, will address a condition that is contributing to the deterioration of the area; and the construction is eligible activity under public facilities category HCDA Section 105 (a)(2).

### D. Citizen Participation:

Describe the citizen involvement in selecting the project and reviewing the application. Describe compliance with the citizen participation plan and any other community plans. A copy of the plan, public notice, affidavit of publication, meeting minutes and sign-in list of attendees is in Appendix 5.

As required by Section 074.05(c) a Citizen Participation Plan was adopted October 6, 2004. In accordance with the Citizens Participation Plan a Notice of Public Hearing was published in the local newspaper more than seven (7) days prior to a public hearing on the CDBG application which was held on November 5, 2008 to receive written and oral comments on the public's perception of the project and to review this Application.

Date of Public Notice October 25, 2008 Date of Public Hearing November 5, 2008

### E. Statewide Goals and Strategies:

Identify the goals and strategies that correspond to the project. Describe how the project meets the identified strategies and goal.

GOAL: This project will preserve and enhance the quality of fire fighting in the District and continue to provide a suitable living environment.

### STRATAGIES:

- a) Improving safety and livability in the community by;
- b) Increasing access to quality facilities and services through a new fire station to improve maintenance of apparatus and to improve response times.

### F. Administrative Capacity:

1. Describe applicant's and sub-recipient's (if applicable) ability to manage the project as indicated in Part A. of this Chapter.

The City of Deary, governed by a mayor and four council persons, employs a city staff of three full and part time persons, including a full time City Clerk/Treasurer, public works employee and a part time maintenance person. Deary Rural Fire District volunteers provide fire-fighting services and the Latah County Sheriff's Department under a contract provides police protection. They have a long

serving Mayor and Council and have successfully administered and constructed two previous large CDBG projects.

Deary Rural Fire District is comprised of 20 firefighters and 24 certified EMS personnel. It is managed by a board of commissioners with a Chairperson, Secretary and Treasurer as officers. The District has after following appropriate procurement practices, hired a professional architect to design and provide cost estimates for the proposed project. The architect will also provide inspection during construction.

The District has one (1) 4X4 4-door Rescue/Quick response truck, two (2) 4X4 4-door Pumper trucks, four (4) 4X4 Brush trucks, one (1) water tender and one (1) 4x4 ambulance.

### 2. Identify steps taken or to be taken to procure a certified grant administrator.

The District has also, again after following appropriate procurement practices, hired an Idaho Department of Commerce approved grant administrator to assist in making this application and to provide grant administration. See Appendix 6.

### G. Fair Housing:

For Public Facility/Housing and Downtown Revitalization projects submit Fair Housing documentation with addendum. For all other projects submit with application.

To be submitted with Addendum.

### VII. Program Income: Describe if the project will generate program income and the reuse plan.

Program Income is not a part of this project.

# VIII. General Project Description: Include the general project description as outlined in the narrative for this section. Be sure to address all the required details.

#### **A.** Community Description:

Describe the applicant's community by size, location, and economy as indicated in Part A of this Chapter.

See Appendix 10 for the County's Labor Market Profile from website www.lmi.idaho.gov.

### **B.** Community Needs Assessment:

Complete the chart below and provide a narrative to identify how all the community's needs have been assessed and how the proposed project is a priority in comparison to other community needs.

Facilities & Infrastructure	Poor	Fair	Good	Previously ICDBG
				funded
Water			X	X
Sewer		X		X
Electrical			X	
Fire	X (Fire Station)		X (Operation)	
Hospitals			In Moscow	
Housing		X		
Roads		X		
Railroads	None			
Airport			In Lewiston and	
			Moscow	
Broadband			X	
<b>Senior Center</b>			X	
Community				
Center			X	X
Community				
Recreation			X	
Facilities				
Employment	Minimal			
Opportunities				
Other				

Narrative: In the narrative explain why the project facility or infrastructure is in poor condition and how the project is a priority over the other facilities and infrastructures listed in poor condition.

The Deary Rural Fire District has outgrown its present facility. Rapid growth in the area, especially over the past few years, made it necessary to acquire additional apparatus to maintain the quality fire protection that district patrons deserve. The district encompasses not only the City of Deary but also an additional 139 square miles of mostly rural, wild land interface. This requires that there not only be structure protection apparatus but wild land protection apparatus as well. Building costs are extremely high and without a grant the district cannot afford to build even a modest "shed" to house equipment.

Currently, apparatus is not only "double-parked" inside the existing building but "nose to tail" with only a foot or even less between bumpers. This arrangement makes it difficult to walk from one end of the building to the other and impossible to move between some of the trucks. Basic maintenance on apparatus is virtually impossible without taking it outside the building. This means other trucks

must be moved out of the way so the one to be maintained or used in an emergency can be reached. When responding to an emergency, this can greatly increase response times. (See Appendix 2)

### C. Project Description:

Identify the specific components of the project that are to be completed. Identify which will be completed with grant funds and those that will be completed with other funding. This section should be detailed enough that it can be used to write a contract scope of work. Also include a site plan showing the boundaries of the project area and the existing infrastructure in comparison to the project improvements.

A \$250,000 bond issue plus savings will be used to construct a new shell fire station on property previously acquired by the Fire District. The proposed CDBG project will complete the building so it can be used to store quick response and first response, fire and medical apparatus. The present building is so crammed with equipment that efficiency of maintenance and emergency response is severely hampered.

CDBG funds will be dedicated to completing the shell building and administrative costs. Construction work will be interior installation of vapor barrier, insulation, gyp boarding, interior wall framing, cabinets and stairs, interior doors and trim, electrical, lighting, plumbing, HVAC, toilets and fixtures in handicapped accessible restroom, floor covering and painting.

A map of the project location and site plan may be found in Appendix 1.

D.	Project Land & Permits: Answer the following	g questions and attac	h documentation.
	1. Has any land, buildings, easements or righ _X_YesNo Li	<b>t-of-ways been pur</b> st date of Purchase:	
	What funds were used to make this purchase?	Reserve Funds	
	2. Will any land, buildings, easements or righ	•	d for this project?
	Status of the purchase: None other than in No.	1	
	3. Is anyone living on the land or in the struct		ed site?
	4. Is any business being conducted on the land		es at the proposed site?
	5. Are there any businesses, individuals, or fa project?	_ <b>_</b>	d as a result of this

6. Are there permits that will be needed for the project; i.e. well, water rights, land application, demolition permits, zoning permit, air quality permit, etc?YesX_No
Status of the permits (include plan for securing permits): <u>Building permit will be acquired for construction of shell</u> . This project is to complete the shell building and electrical and plumbing permits will be needed.
7. Describe the ownership/lease arrangements for the property involved in the project.  See No. 1. The property is owned by the Deary Rural Fire Department.

VIII. Budget Narrative: Describe the source and status of all funding for the project according to the instructions in Part A of this Chapter.

In July 2006 the patrons of Deary Rural Fire District passed a \$250,000 bond of which, along with District savings will be used to construct a 3,000 sq. ft. "shell" fire station. USDA-Rural Development is prepared to buy the bond. The District has and will spend \$83,450 of its own funds for grant writing, land, architectural design services and construction. Additionally, in-kind work has cleared the land and will prepare the site for construction.

X. Idaho Community Development Block Grant Budget Form (Use only line items on pages V-7 & V-8)

Applicant or Grantee: CITY OF DEARY (DEARY FIRE)

Project Name: FIRE STATION COMPLETION

LINE ITEMS	ICDBG Cash	District Cash	District In-Kind	USDA/ RD	State*	Local* Bond	Private Cash	Private In-	Total
				Grant				Kind	
Administrative**	\$ 48,750	\$ 3,500							\$52,250
Land, Structures, Rights of Way		40,000							40,000
Engineering		37,950	12,644			30,000			80,594
Construction	451,250		38,510			215,000			704,760
Legal & Audit		2,000				5,000			7,000
Interest									
TOTAL COSTS**	\$500,000	\$83,450	\$51,154			\$250,000			\$884,604

<sup>\*</sup>Identify funding source

<sup>\*\*</sup>Administrative expenses and project planning design costs, when totaled, shall not exceed 10% of the total ICDBG amount.

# XI. Detailed Cost Analysis

XII. Project Schedule

Project Activity	Date (to be) Completed	Documentation in Appendix
Grant Administrator Procured	July 2008	6
Design Professional	2006	
Other Funding Secured	October 2005	4
Permits Identified & Secured	January 2009	
Subrecipient Agreement Drafted	November 2008	9
Construction Documents Complete	January 2009	
Environmental Review Complete	April 2009	
Complete 504 Requirements	September 2009	
Complete Fair Housing Requirements	September 2009	
Bids Advertised	May 2009	
Start Construction	June 2009	
Second Public Hearing	September 2009	
Certificate of Substantial Completion	November 2009	
Closeout	December 2009	

Name of Professional and Agency Contacts	Firm/Agency	Phone	Topic
Grant Writer/Administrator	Walter Steed	883-0123	Planning
Engineer	Greg McCracken, AIA	746-2661	Design
Bond Counsel	Mike Ormsby	509-444-7868	Bond Issue
Funding Agency	Howard Lunderstadt, USDA-RD	762-4939	Funding
Environmental Officer	Walter Steed	883-0123	Envron.
Regulatory Compliance	DEQ – Lewiston	799-4370	Reg.
District Finance Officer	Brian Robertson	877-1692	Tres.
City Finance Officer	Judy Heath	877-1582	City Clerk

# XIII. Grantee and Sub-recipient Financial Profiles

Is the (	Grantee a (circle one)			
	City	County		
If a cul	b-recipient, what type (	of Organization (circle	ana)	
n a sui	Water District	Sewer District	Homeowner's Association	
	For Profit Company	Non Profit Company	Water Association	
	Fire District	Non-Profit Company Hospital District	Water Association	
	Omer (piease exprain).			
**INS	TRUCTIONS			
	l projects complete S	ections III & IV		
	l projects that pertain		Section I. III. & IV.	
	l projects that pertain	_		
	- projects true per turn	a to so were compressed	/·····	
Section	n I. Water System (only	) - Input information for	r the water system (entity) that is expected to	
	the Idaho Community B			
	Water Source(s):	Vells River	Laka Springs	
		Purchase Other	Lake Springs	
	1	dienase Other		
	Water Treatment Metho	od		
	Number of poorle corre	ad by the eyetem		
	Number of people serve		<del></del>	
	Number of hook-ups or	•	<del></del>	
	Number of equivalent of			
	(EDU's) on the system			
	Number of residential I			
	Number of commercial			
	Number of industrial E	DUs		
	Number of Wells			
	Number of Fire Hydrar			
	Storage Reservoir (gall			
	Water piping (linear fee			
	Are all system users on			
	Are meters consistently			
		hat is the average month	· ·	
	Water rate for 10,000 g		\$	
	When was the last rate		<del></del>	
	How much were the rat	es increased	\$	
	Annual water system	revenue	\$	
		ol improvement account	\$	
	Current funds in reser		\$	
		wed by customers in arro	ears \$	
		<b>,</b>	· <del></del>	
	Annual water system	expenses	\$	
	•	-	<del></del>	

Residential Hook-Up Fee		\$
Commercial Hook-up Fee		\$
Industrial Hook-Up Fee		\$
Value and description of assets:		
Land		\$
Buildings		\$
Equipment		\$
Other		\$
<b>Total Asset Value</b>		\$
Identify outstanding indebtedness:		
	Annual Payment	Lender
<del></del>		
Explain Water Conservation Methods In	nplemented:	
n II. Sewer System (only) Input informat		tem (entity) that is exp
the Idaho Community Block Grant funds.		
Sewer Treatment Method		
Do you have a Pre-treatment system?	Vac No	
Do you have a Fre-treatment system?	168 NO	
Number of people served by the system		
Number of residential connection on the		
Number of commercial connection on the	cyctem	
Number of industrial connection on the s	•	
	e system	
	e system system	
Number of new connections within the la	e system system ast year	<u> </u>
Number of new connections within the la Treatment System capacity (million gallo	e system system ast year	\$
Number of new connections within the la Treatment System capacity (million gallo Sewer piping (linear feet)	e system system ast year	\$
Number of new connections within the la Treatment System capacity (million gallo Sewer piping (linear feet) Number of lift stations	e system system ast year ons)	\$
Number of new connections within the la Treatment System capacity (million gallo Sewer piping (linear feet) Number of lift stations What are the current residential sewer ra	e system system ast year ons)	\$ \$ \$
Number of new connections within the la Treatment System capacity (million gallo Sewer piping (linear feet) Number of lift stations What are the current residential sewer ra When was the last rate increase	e system system ast year ons)	\$ \$
Number of new connections within the la Treatment System capacity (million galled Sewer piping (linear feet) Number of lift stations What are the current residential sewer ra When was the last rate increase How much were the rates increased	e system system ast year ons)	\$ \$ \$
Number of new connections within the la Treatment System capacity (million galled Sewer piping (linear feet) Number of lift stations What are the current residential sewer ra When was the last rate increase How much were the rates increased Residential Connection Fee	e system system ast year ons)	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$
Number of new connections within the la Treatment System capacity (million galled Sewer piping (linear feet) Number of lift stations What are the current residential sewer ra When was the last rate increase How much were the rates increased	e system system ast year ons)	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$
Number of new connections within the la Treatment System capacity (million galled Sewer piping (linear feet) Number of lift stations What are the current residential sewer ra When was the last rate increase How much were the rates increased Residential Connection Fee	e system system ast year ons)	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$
Number of new connections within the later Treatment System capacity (million galled Sewer piping (linear feet) Number of lift stations What are the current residential sewer rate When was the last rate increase How much were the rates increased Residential Connection Fee Commercial Connection Fee	e system system ast year ons)	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$
Number of new connections within the la Treatment System capacity (million galled Sewer piping (linear feet) Number of lift stations What are the current residential sewer ra When was the last rate increase How much were the rates increased Residential Connection Fee Commercial Connection Fee Industrial Connection Fee	e system system ast year ons)	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$
Number of new connections within the later Treatment System capacity (million galled Sewer piping (linear feet) Number of lift stations What are the current residential sewer rate When was the last rate increase How much were the rates increased Residential Connection Fee Commercial Connection Fee Industrial Connection Fee  Annual sewer system revenue Current funds in reserve account	e system system ast year ons) tes	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$
Number of new connections within the later Treatment System capacity (million galled Sewer piping (linear feet) Number of lift stations What are the current residential sewer rate When was the last rate increase How much were the rates increased Residential Connection Fee Commercial Connection Fee Industrial Connection Fee Annual sewer system revenue	e system system ast year ons) tes	\$
Number of new connections within the later Treatment System capacity (million galled Sewer piping (linear feet) Number of lift stations What are the current residential sewer rate When was the last rate increase How much were the rates increased Residential Connection Fee Commercial Connection Fee Industrial Connection Fee  Annual sewer system revenue Current funds in reserve account Current funds in capitol improvement as	e system system ast year ons) tes	\$
Number of new connections within the later Treatment System capacity (million galled Sewer piping (linear feet) Number of lift stations What are the current residential sewer rate. When was the last rate increase. How much were the rates increased. Residential Connection Fee. Commercial Connection Fee. Industrial Connection Fee.  Annual sewer system revenue. Current funds in reserve account. Current funds in capitol improvement and connection funds in capitol improvement and capitol improvement an	e system system ast year ons) tes	\$

Value and desc	cription of assets:		
Land			\$
Build	lings		\$
	oment		\$
Other			\$
Total	l Asset Value		<b>\$</b>
Identify outsta	nding indebtedness:		
	Years remaining	Annual Payment	Lender
Section III. Non-Wate	r/Sewer Application	s:	
	w the organization ob	tains its operating funding	g, i.e. bonds, district assessments,
other:	ist tore band (for buil	dina musicat)	
rire distr	rict tax; bond (for buil		
		·	
	you tax? _X Yes a) If yes: (1) What is	No the tax rate? .000522454	
	* *	•	enerated? \$40,948
	expense	s, etc.? Equipment, gener	or, i.e. equipment, operating ral maintenance and operation,
2. If y	our organization does	s not tax explain why	
Section IV. Grantee (ci Financial Summary (b		audit report)	
Revenue			
Taxes			\$42,348
Licenses and Pe	ermits		\$ \$
Intergovernmer			\$
Charge for Serv			\$2,478
Miscellaneous	~		\$14,021
Other			\$ \$
Total Annual Revenue			\$ 58.847

Expenses
----------

Total Annual Expenditures		\$40,292	
<b>Growth Management Planning</b>			
When was the comprehensive plan last updated	?n/a		
Which of the following tools do you implement as land use measures and controls?			
Building Codes Historical Preservation Conventional Zoning Ordinances Other Zoning Options: (see below)	Yes _X	No	
Bonus or Incentive Zoning  Example: allows for increased residents affordable housing options  Transfer of Development Rights  Example: transfer development rights to is wanted and to restrict it in areas when Planned Unit Development (PUD)  Example: allows for creative and innoval amenities for public benefit. (mixed used Development Agreements)  Contract between municipality and development Agreements what the developer may do an within project area.	_X o areas where development) _X e development) _X eloper. Municipa	velopment  me time creating  ality	
Do you currently implement any of the following?			
Economic Development Plan Development Impact Fees Local Option Tax (resort) Toll roads Distance Based Impact Fees Tree City USA	Yes _X	No	

### XIV. Community Demographic Profile

Instructions: Complete the un-shaded areas using census data for the city/county applicant.

The census data can be located on our Web site at: http://community.idaho.gov

Name of Applicant: \_\_\_City of Deary (Deary Rural Fire District)

	TOTALS
TOTAL POPULATION PRINTINGS (12 M2)	1.000
TOTAL POPULATION BENEFITED (if different from city/county population in census)	1,000
TOTAL POPULATION IN APPLICANT'S AREA	552
Male	272
Female	280
White	531
Percent of Population	96.2%
MINORITY POPULATION	
Black/African American	0
American Indian/Native Alaskan	10
Asian	3
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0
American Indian/Alaskan Native and White	10
Asian and White	0
Black/African American and White	0
American Indian/Alaskan Native and Black/African American	0
Other Multi-Racial	4
Hispanic	19
TOTAL MINORITY	32
Percent of Population	5.8%
SENIOR CITIZENS	
Total Persons 65 Years and Over	73
Percent of Population	13.2%
DISABILITY STATUS	
Civilian Non-institutionalized Population 16 to 64	384
Percent with a Work Disability	14.4%
Civilian Non-institutionalized Population 65 Years and Over	71
Percent with a Disability	62.0%
FEMALE HEAD OF HOUSEHOLD	
Total Households	235
Female Householder, No Husband Present	11
Percent of Households	4.7%

**XV. Review and Ranking Narrative:** Refer to the chapter of this manual that addresses the type of project the community is proposing (Public Facility/Housing, Economic Development, Downtown Revitalization, Senior/Community Center). There will be an outline of the ranking criteria used by the Idaho Department of Commerce to make recommendations to the Economic Advisory Council. Be sure to address each ranking criterion, and if a criterion does not apply to the project, state it as such. Be sure to complete all of the forms included within the chapter as well.

### E. Eligible Activity Priority Ranking Sheet

Fill in the percentage of the project's budget that will be spent on the following activities. The Total Points Awarded column will be completed by department staff.

Eligible Activity	Points	Percentage of ICDBG	Total Points
	Possible	Budget Spent on Activity	Awarded
Acquisition of Real Property	100		
Acquisition of Real Property	50		
for Housing Projects			
Public Facilities and Improvements-	100	90.25%	
Health and Safety Related			
Public Facilities and Improvements-	75		
Housing Related			
Public Facilities and Improvements-	50		
Social Service Related			
Engineering-Architectural	100		
Code Enforcement	50		
Clearance and Demolition	10		
Removal of Architectural Barriers	50		
Rental Income Payments	0		
Disposition of Property	10		
Public Services	0		
Completion of Urban Renewal Projects	0		
Relocation Payments	25		
Planning Activities	0		
Administration Activities	100	9.75%	
Grants to Nonprofit Community	0		
Organizations			
Grants to Nonprofit Community	75		
Organizations for Housing Projects			
Energy Planning	0		
Housing Rehabilitation	75		
Total Points Awarded to Project	•		

# II. National Objectives: Complete the need and impact for the project type that is Public Facilities.

### A. NEED: (½ page narrative)

This project is a major undertaking as another element of the long term planning of the Deary Rural Fire District. They are a victim of their own accomplishments having acquired multiple pieces of fire apparatus but not having adequate storage space to park it all. They very much need to upgrade their fire station space to operate efficiently. The project will provide a long-term solution to the need of the district to have a viable, space efficient plant by completing a 3,000 sq. foot shell building which they have raised funds to construct.

Growth in the area, especially over the past few years, has made it necessary to acquire additional apparatus to maintain quality fire protection that district patrons deserve. This requires that the District not only have structure protection apparatus but wild land protection apparatus as well. This acquisition of additional equipment has caused them to outgrow their present building. Apparatus is not only "double-parked" inside the existing building but "nose to tail" with only a foot or even less between bumpers. This arrangement makes it difficult to walk from one end of the building to the other and impossible to move between some of the trucks. Basic maintenance on apparatus is virtually impossible without taking it outside the building. This means other trucks must be moved out of the way so the one to be maintained or used in an emergency can be reached. When responding to an emergency, this can greatly increase response times. (See Appendix 2)

Deputy State Fire Marshall Don Strong, in his letter of November 4, 2008, stated, "This building will help relieve the extremely crowded conditions that exist in your present facility." He goes on to say, "Your two year attempt to accomplish this is only prolonging and adding to the cost and the sooner you can complete this project the better value for the community." "Your willingness to provide in kind labor to help reduce the overall costs shows the additional commitments of your people to provide quality fire and ambulance response to the community you serve." (See full letter in Appendix 7 as well as July 5, 2007 letter from Mark Larson, Idaho State Fire Marshall.)

### **B.** IMPACT: (½ page narrative)

- (1) What benefits will Low and Moderate Income persons receive from this project?
- (2) What are the ramifications if the project is not funded, i.e., higher rates, lack of facility, loss of property, etc.
- (3) If the project comes in over budget, what components will be cut?
- (4) If a component is cut, what will the grantee do to continue the improvement
- (5) What procedures will be developed to measure short and long term permanent impacts of the project?

- (1) This is a Slum and Blight national objective project, however, that does not mean the citizens of the District will not receive the benefits of the fire District being able to better maintain its equipment and to provide better response times from stations that have adequate room to store apparatus.
- (2) If the project is not funded they will have a new but unfinished "shell" fire station and have to continue to operate out of the existing, cramped quarters.
- (3) and (4) All elements of the project are necessary for its designed use. If the project comes in over budget probably nothing will be cut but rather more work will be done through donations and in–kind labor.
- (5) Short and long term permanent impacts will be evident through better maintenance of equipment and reduced response times by the District.

### **III.** Project Categories

A.

- 1. Design Professional Documentation— The District previously hired, through proper procurement processes, USKH, Inc. of Lewiston to create the plans and cost estimates found in the Appendix and to act as project architect for bidding and construction. Their services are being paid by donated time, District cash and from USDA-Rural Development loan funds; not from the CDBG.
- **2. Grant Administration Documentation** The District has, after following appropriate procurement practices, hired W. M. Steed & Associates of Moscow, an Idaho Department of Commerce certified grant administrator, to assist in the making of this application and to provide administrative services for the project. Documentation of the advertisement and rating and ranking sheets can be found in Appendix 6. The contract for services has been sent under separate cover.
- **3.** Plan or Studies USKH, Inc. has completed plans and cost estimates for the project, which can be found in Appendicies 1 and 3.
- **4.** Planning for specific project type: Water and Sewer system projects (Provide a conditional approval issued by DEQ of the facilities study or the project's specifications and drawings) Not applicable.
- **5. Environmental Scoping** The ICDBG Environmental Scoping Field Note Checklist has been completed and not only have environmental information request letters been mailed, but some responses received. See Section XVIII of this application and Appendix 8.

### 6. Agency Viability –

(1) Utility Rate Review – Not applicable.

- (2) Completion of ICDBG Financial Profile Worksheet The completed worksheet may be found in Section XIII of this application.
- **7. Property Acquisition** Two years ago the District acquired the lot immediately behind the existing fire station.
- **8. Funding Commitments** A copy of USDA's commitment may be found in Appendix 4. USDA-RD will forward the full amount of the bond to the District.
- **9. Schedule** The schedule is shown in Section XII of this application.

### 10. Administrative Capacity -

- (1) Has the applicant completed a Section 504 or ADA Self Evaluation and Transition Plan? \_\_X\_ Yes \_\_\_\_ No Coordinator: City Clerk
- (2) What is the most current building code the applicant has adopted? The City of Deary has adopted a Fair Housing Plan and has adopted the 2006 International Building Code.
- (3) Are the Fair Housing Accessibility Standards as a component of their building code? \_\_3\_ Yes \_\_\_ No

### B. Cost Analysis –

The Detailed Cost Analysis information can be found in Section XI of this application.

#### XVII. CERTIFICATIONS

I certify the data in this application is true and correct, that this document has been duly authorized by the governing body of Deary, Idaho and we will comply with the following laws and regulations if this application is approved and selected for funding.

- National Environmental Policy Act of 1969
- Civil Rights Act of 1964 Pub.L 88-352
- Civil Rights Act of 1968 Pub.L 90-284
- Age Discrimination Act of 1975
- Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Section 504
- Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Act of 1970, as amended (49 CFR Part 24)
- Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, as amended Pub.L 93-383
- Davis-Bacon Act (40 USC 276a 276a-5)
- Historic Preservation Act
- OMB Circular A-87, and ensure that sub-recipient complies with A-110 and A-122
- Section 106 of the Housing and Urban Recovery Act of 1983 certifying to:
  - Minimize displacement as a result of activities assisted with CDBG funds by following the Idaho Department of Commerce & Labors anti-displacement and relocation assistance plan;
  - Conduct and administer its program in conformance with Title VI and Title VIII, and affirmatively further fair housing;
  - Provide opportunities for citizen participation comparable to the state's requirements (those described in Section 104(a) of the Act, as amended);
  - Not use assessments or fees to recover the capital costs of ICDBG funded public improvements from low and moderate income owner occupants;
  - Abide by all state and federal rules and regulations related to the implementation and management of federal grants;
  - Assess and implement an Accessibility Plan for persons with disabilities in accordance with Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended;
    - Adopt and implement an Excessive Force Policy;
  - Prohibition of Use of Assistance for Employment Relocation, Section 588 of the Disability Housing and work Responsibility Act of 1998 Pub. L 105-276.
  - Anti-Lobbying Certification: No federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid, by or on behalf of the undersigned to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any federal agency, a member of, employee of a member of, officer of or employee of Congress in connection with the awarding of any federal contract, the making of any federal grant or loan, the entering into any cooperative agreement and the extension, renewal, modification or amendment of any federal contract, grant, loan or cooperative agreement.

If any funds other than federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any federal agency, a member of, employee of a member of, officer of or employee of Congress in connection with this federal grant, the undersigned shall complete and submit Standard Form-LLL, "Disclosure Form to Report Lobbying," in accordance with its instructions.

Signed by Chief Elected Official	Date
John Henderson, Mayor	
Typed Name	

## XVIII. ICDBG Environmental Scoping - Field Notes Checklist

Applicant _	City of Deary	Sub Recipient _	Deary Rural Fire District
This site and application.	l desk review checklist i	s to be completed by the	ne Applicant and submitted with the
statutes or p understanding completing	rovisions per 24 CFR 58 ng what studies, docume	B might impact the propentation, and mitigation were record. The Application	CL better understand what environmental posed project. The information will assist in measures could be applicable and to assist in the may choose to attach this scoping checklist
	ons on Activities ee planning or in the pro	ocess of acquiring prope	erty for this proposed project? Yes
subject to 24 ICDBG fund for land acq	4 CFR 58.22 Limitation ds is submitted, neither a usition or site work (except)	on Activities Requiring Applicant or sub recipion cept for minor testing)	k after submission of the ICDBG application is g Clearance? Meaning once an application for ent, may commit Non-HUD funds to a project before the environmental review is complete, mpletion of the ICDBG environmental review.
Has the SHI	Preservation PO been notified of the p with possible cultural ar	•	_ No notified of the project? _3 Yes No
Is the project	in Management et located within a floody ore.msc.fema.gov/		nated on a current FEMA map? Check Web t Sure
*	• -		participant in the National Flood Insurance  d Yes No_ N/A_3_
<b>4. Wetland</b> Are there posite? Y	onds, marshes, bogs, swa	amps, drainage ways, st	reams, rivers, or other wetlands on or near the
If yes, has th	ne Army Corps of Engin	eers (ACoE) been noti	fied? Yes No N/A_3_
	rce Aquifers (Clean Wa aquifer located over an		r area? Yes3_ No
Has U.S. Fis	red Species Act sh and Wildlife Service, ut the project? _3_ Yes		Idaho Fish and Game Regional Office been

7. Wild and Scenic Rivers Act Is the project located within one mile of a designated wild and scenic river? Idaho wild and scenic rivers include portions of the St. Joe, Lochsa, Selway, Middle Fork of the Clearwater, Snake, Rapid, and Middle Fork of the Salmon. Check Web site www.nps.gov/rivers/ Yes3_ No
8. Clean Air Act Is the project located in a designated non-attainment area for criteria air pollutants? Yes _3_ No
For building demolition or improvements has an asbestos analysis been planned for or conducted? Yes No3_ N/A
For housing rehabilitation has a lead based paint assessment been planned for or conducted? Yes No3_ N/A
9. Farmland Protection Policy Act  Is the project located on a site currently zoned as residential, commercial, or industrial?3_YesNo
Is the project area currently being utilized for farm or agricultural purposes? Yes3_ No
If yes, has the USDA Natural Resource Conservation Service or local planning department been notified about the project? Yes No_ N/A_3_
<ul> <li>10. Environmental Justice</li> <li>Does project have a disproportionate environmental impact on low income or minority populations?</li> <li>Yes3_ No</li> </ul>
11. Noise Abatement and Control  Is the project new construction or rehabilitation of noise sensitive use (i.e. housing, mobile home parks, nursing homes, hospitals, and other uses where quiet is integral to the project functions)?  Yes3_ No
If yes is the project located within 5 miles of an airport, 1000 feet of a major highway or busy road, or 3,000 feet of a railroad Yes No_3_ N/A
<b>12. Explosive and Flammable Operations</b> Is the physical structure (not necessarily infrastructure) intended for residential, institutional, recreational, commercial or industrial use? Yes3_No
If yes, are there any above ground explosive or flammable fuels or chemical containers within one mile of the physical structure? Yes No3_ N/A
If yes, have you been able to identify what the container is holding and the container's size?  Yes No3_ N/A
13. Toxic Chemicals and Radioactive Materials  Are there any known hazardous materials, contamination, chemicals, gases, and radioactive substance on or near the site? Yes _3 No  If yes, explain

During the visual inspection of the site is there signs of distressed vegetation, vents or fill pipes, storage/oil tanks, stained soil, dumped material, questionable containers, foul or noxious odors, etc.  Yes3_ No			
If yes, explain			
At this time is the site's previous uses known to have been gasoline stations, train depots, dry cleaners, agricultural operations, repair shops, landfill, etc.? Yes3_ No			
Are other funding agencies requiring the Grantee to perform an American Society for Testing Materials (ASTM) environmental assessment? ASTM assessment involves analysis of site uses and ownership, inspection of site, and possible testing Yes3_ No			
<b>14. Airport Clear Zones and Accident Potential Zones</b> Is the project located within a designated airport runway clear zone or protection zone? Yes3_ No			
Does the project involve acquisition of land or construction/rehabilitation of building or infrastructure in an airport runway clear zone or protection zone? Yes3_ No			
If yes, is the grantee aware that the airport operator may wish to purchase the property at some point in the future as part of a clear or accident zone acquisition program? Yes No3_ $$ N/A			
<b>15. Other Environmental Reviews</b> Have facilities studies or other environmentally related site reviews been conducted or in the process of being conducted? _3 Yes No			
If yes, are there any identified concerns or recommended mitigation measures? Yes _3 No List if known			
16. Information Letters  The advanced mailing of environmental information letters is sought in an effort to minimize the project's timeline in waiting for necessary documentation or information. It will also assist in earlier responses to required mitigation measures should the proposed project receive ICDBG funding.			
Place a check mark by the agencies that have been mailed an environmental information letter.			
_3 Idaho State Historic Preservation Officer _3_ Tribal Historic Preservation Officer or Tribal Office _3_ Idaho Department of Water Resources _3_ Army Corps of Engineers (if wetlands are applicable) _3_ U.S. Fish and Wildlife			
_3 NOAA Fisheries (if salmon and/or steelhead are applicable) _3 Idaho Fish and Game _N/A_USDA Natural Resource Conservation Service (if farmlands are applicable) _3 Idaho Department of Environmental Quality _N/A_Local Government – Planning Department Others			

Completion of the scoping checklist does not	constitute that all environmental provisions or clauses	
related to 24 CFR 58 Environmental Reviews have been met or are known at this time.		
Walter Steed	11-11-08	
Completed By	Date	

ALPHABETICAL APPENDIX	
Citizens Participation Plan	5
Cost Estimates	3
Environmental Rev. Officer Appointed	6
Environmental Scoping Letters and Responses	8
Grant Writer Contracted	6
Grant Writer Rating and Ranking	6
Grant Writer Solicitation	6
Joint Powers Agreement	9
Labor Market Profile	10
Letters of Support from Fire Marshalls	7
Minutes of Public Hearing	5
Notice of Public Hearing	5
Present Floor Plan and Photos	2
Project Maps	1
Sources of Local Match	4
USDA-RD Support Letter	4

NUMERICAL APPENDIX	
Project Maps	1
Present Floor Plan and Photos	2
Cost Estimates	3
Sources of Local Match	4
USDA-RD Support Letter	4
Citizens Participation Plan	5
Notice of Public Hearing	5
Minutes of Public Hearing	5
Environmental Rev. Officer Appointed	6
Grant Writer Contracted	6
Grant Writer Solicitation	6
Grant Writer Rating and Ranking	6
Letters of Support from Fire Marshalls	7
Environmental Scoping Letters and Responses	8
Joint Powers Agreement	9
Labor Market Profile	10